

ANDERSON-THAKUR POLYNOMIALS AND MULTIZETA VALUES IN FINITE CHARACTERISTIC

HUEI-JENG CHEN

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of arithmetic of zeta values begins by Euler's famous evaluations: for $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\zeta(2m) = \frac{-B_{2m} (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{2m}}{2(2m)!},$$

where $B_{2m} \in \mathbb{Q}$ are Bernoulli numbers. Euler's formula implies that $\zeta(n)/(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^n$ is rational if and only if n is even. As generalizations of zeta values, Euler studied multiple zeta values $\zeta(s_1, \dots, s_r)$, where $s_1, \dots, s_r$ are positive integers with $s_1 \geq 2$. Although there exist simple relations between zeta and multiple zeta values, such as $\zeta(2, 1) = \zeta(3)$, sorting out all relations among these multiple zeta values is a much involved problem. Here r is called the depth and $w := \sum_{i=1}^r s_i$ is called the weight of $\zeta(s_1, \dots, s_r)$. We call $\zeta(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ *Eulerian* if the ratio $\zeta(s_1, \dots, s_r)/(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^w$ is rational.

Carlitz introduced and derived an analogue of Euler's formula for what we now called Carlitz zeta values $\zeta_A(n)$. Let $A = \mathbb{F}_q[\theta]$ be the polynomial ring in the variable θ over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $K = \mathbb{F}_q(\theta)$ be its quotient field. Let $\mathbf{C}$ be the Carlitz module and $\tilde{\pi}$ is a fundamental period of $\mathbf{C}$. The Carlitz exponential function is defined by $\exp_{\mathbf{C}}(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{z^{q^n}}{D_n}$. We denote by $\Gamma_{n+1} \in A$ the Carlitz factorials and $BC(n) \in K$ by the Bernoulli-Carlitz numbers. Carlitz showed that

$$\zeta_A(n) := \sum_{a \in A^+} \frac{1}{a^n} = \frac{BC(n)}{\Gamma_{n+1}} \tilde{\pi}^n$$

if $q-1|n$. Carlitz's result implies that $\zeta_A(n)/\tilde{\pi}^n$ is rational in K if and only if $q-1|n$.

Anderson and Thakur [1] related the interesting value $\zeta_A(n)$ to a special integral point Z_n on $\mathbf{C}^{\otimes n}(A)$ via the logarithm map of $\mathbf{C}^{\otimes n}$, where $\mathbf{C}^{\otimes n}$ denotes the n -th tensor power of the Carlitz module. As a consequence, one has that $\zeta_A(n)/\tilde{\pi}^n$ is rational if and only if Z_n is a $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -torsion point, and this condition is equivalent to n being divisible by $q-1$. In [1] a key role is played by a sequence of distinguished polynomials $H_n \in A[t]$, now called the Anderson-Thakur polynomials. On the other hand, Yu [13] also showed that the transcendence of $\zeta_A(n)/\tilde{\pi}^n$ over K is equivalent to Z_n being non-torsion on $\mathbf{C}^{\otimes n}(A)$, whence deriving that $\zeta_A(n)/\tilde{\pi}^n$ is algebraic over K if and only if $\zeta_A(n)/\tilde{\pi}^n$ is rational in K .

In the last decade, Thakur [10, 11] initiated the study of multizeta values $\zeta_A(s_1, \dots, s_r)$. He and his co-workers discovered interesting relations among some multizeta values. Call $\zeta_A(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ *Eulerian* (*zeta-like* resp.) if the ratio $\zeta(s_1, \dots, s_r)/\tilde{\pi}^w$ ($\zeta_A(s_1, \dots, s_r)/\zeta_A(w)$ resp.) is rational in K . A basic question in this respect is to find all Eulerian/zeta-like multizeta values. In [9], Lara Rodriguez and Thakur gave particularly precise formulas for certain families of Eulerian/zeta-like multizeta values and conjectured other ones. Their conjectures are supported by numerical data from continued fraction computations. On

the other hand, Chang [3] also proved the subtle fact that these ratios $\zeta(s_1, \dots, s_r)/\tilde{\pi}^w$, $\zeta_A(s_1, \dots, s_r)/\zeta_A(w)$ are either rational or transcendental over K .

In an effort to understand relations among multizeta values, Chang, Papanikolas and Yu [4] established an effective criterion for Eulerian/zeta-like multizeta values by constructing an abelian t -module E' defined over A and relating the values $\zeta_A(s_1, \dots, s_r)$, $\zeta_A(w)$ to specific integral points $\mathbf{v}_s$, $\mathbf{u}_s$ on $E'(A)$. They proved that $\zeta_A(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ is Eulerian (zeta-like) if and only if $\mathbf{v}_s$ is a $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -torsion point (respectively, $\mathbf{u}_s$ and $\mathbf{v}_s$ have an $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -linear relation inside $E'(A)$). The integral points $\mathbf{v}_s$, $\mathbf{u}_s$ are constructed using the Anderson-Thakur polynomials. Their theory connects possible $\mathbb{F}_q(\theta)$ -linear relation of $\zeta_A(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ and $\zeta_A(w)$ explicitly with the possible $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -linear relation among $\mathbf{v}_s$ and $\mathbf{u}_s$ inside $E'(A)$.

Just recently, Kuan-Lin [7] implemented algorithms basing on the criterion of Chang-Papanikolas-Yu. They have collected more extensive data on zeta-like and Eulerian multizeta values over the polynomial rings $\mathbb{F}_q[\theta]$. Particularly in [4, 9], a conjectured rule is spelled out to specify all Eulerian multizeta values. Lists given in [7] suggest more families of zeta-like multizeta values of arbitrary depth. These families are not covered by [9]. It is observed that there should be only a few zeta-like families in higher depth, because of the conjectured "splicing" condition (cf. [9]). Finding all zeta-like multizeta values is now in sight.

Inspired by this development we study Anderson-Thakur polynomials in more details in this paper, for the purpose of deriving exact rational ratio between $\zeta_A(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ and $\zeta_A(w)$ whenever such a ratio exists. In particular, we are able to verify : (1) Conjecture 4.6 of [9], (2) Conjecture 5 of [7], (3) the conjectured list of all Eulerian multizeta values given in [4], Section 6.2, are indeed Eulerian. The strategy for proving zeta-like property for given multizeta values is to handle recurrence relations among Anderson-Thakur polynomials H_n . In view of the fact that these H_n are polynomials in both θ and t over $\mathbb{F}_q$, we use Lucas Theorem to establish q -th power recurrence when n has particular q -adic "shape". Combining with the obvious linear recurrence relating H_n to H_{n-q^i} , we eventually arrive at more transparent formulas for H_n .

The contents of this paper are arranged as follows. In Section 2, we set up preliminaries and introduce the conjectured families of zeta-like multizeta values given in [7] and [9], which we will prove later. In Section 3, we use generalized Lucas Theorem [6, p.75-76] to study Anderson-Thakur polynomials. Then in Section 4 we apply Chang-Papanikolas-Yu's theorem [4, Theorem 2.5.2] to verify that all previously conjectured families of zeta-like multizeta values are indeed zeta-like with exact formulas given in Theorem 4.4. At the end of this paper we provide 'recursive' relations for two very special families of multizeta values and derive that they are Eulerian (Theorem 5.1, 5.2) in Section 5.

2. PRELIMINARIES FOR MULTIZETA VALUES

2.1. Notation. We adopt the notation below in the following chapters.

- $\mathbb{F}_q$ = a finite field with $q = p^m$ elements.
- $K = \mathbb{F}_q(\theta)$, the rational function field in the variable θ .
- $\infty = 1/\theta$, the infinite place of K .
- $|\cdot|$ = the nonarchimedean absolute value on K corresponding to ∞ .
- $K_\infty = \mathbb{F}_q((1/\theta))$, the completion of K with respect to the absolute value $|\cdot|$.
- $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ = the completion of $\overline{K_\infty}$ with respect to the canonical extension of ∞ .

$A = \mathbb{F}_q[\theta]$, the ring of polynomials in the variable θ .
 A_+ = the set of monic polynomials in A .
 A_d = the set of polynomials in A of degree d .
 $A_{d+} = A_d \cap A_+$, the set of monic polynomials in A of degree d .
 $[n] = \theta^{q^n} - \theta$.
 $D_n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \theta^{q^n} - \theta^{q^i} = [n][n-1]^q \cdots [1]^{q^{n-1}}$.
 $L_n = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta^{q^i} - \theta = [n][n-1] \cdots [1]$.
 t = a variable independent of θ .

2.2. Multizeta values. For $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, put

$$S_d(s) = \sum_{a \in A_{d+}} \frac{1}{a^s} \in K.$$

For a given tuple $(s_1, \dots, s_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$ and $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, put

$$S_d(s_1, \dots, s_r) = S_d(s_1) \sum_{d > d_2 > \dots > d_r \geq 0} S_{d_2}(s_2) \cdots S_{d_r}(s_r) \in K.$$

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ the Carlitz-Goss zeta values are defined by

$$\zeta(k) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} S_d(k) = \sum_{a \in A_+} \frac{1}{a^k} \in K_{\infty}.$$

For a given tuple $(s_1, \dots, s_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$, the Thakur multizeta values of depth r and weight $w = \sum s_i$ are defined by

$$\zeta(s_1, \dots, s_r) = \sum_{d_1 > \dots > d_r \geq 0} S_{d_1}(s_1) \cdots S_{d_r}(s_r) = \sum_{\substack{a_i \in A_+ \\ \deg a_1 > \dots > \deg a_r \geq 0}} \frac{1}{a_1^{s_1} \cdots a_r^{s_r}}.$$

2.3. Bernoulli-Carlitz numbers $BC(n)$. For a non-negative integer n , we express n as

$$n = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i q^i \quad (0 \leq n_i \leq q-1, n_i = 0 \text{ for } i \gg 0),$$

and we recall the definition of the arithmetic Γ -function,

$$\Gamma_{n+1} := \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} D_i^{n_i} \in A.$$

Let $\mathbf{C}$ be the Carlitz module and $\tilde{\pi} = (-\theta)^{\frac{q}{q-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - \frac{\theta}{\theta^{q^i}})^{-1}$ be a fundamental period of $\mathbf{C}$.

The Carlitz exponential function is defined by $\exp_{\mathbf{C}}(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{z^{q^n}}{D_n}$. The Bernoulli-Carlitz numbers $BC(n) \in K$ defined by

$$\frac{z}{\exp_{\mathbf{C}}(z)} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{BC(n)}{\Gamma_{n+1}} z^n.$$

When n is ‘even’ i.e., $q-1 \mid n$, Carlitz derived an analogue of Euler’s formula as follows:

Lemma 2.4. (*Carlitz*)

$$(a) \zeta_A(n) = \frac{BC(n)}{\Gamma_{n+1}} \tilde{\pi}^n \text{ if } q-1|n.$$

$$(b) BC(q^n - q^i) = \frac{(-1)^{n-i} \Gamma_{q^n - q^i + 1}}{L_{n-i}^{q^i}}.$$

Combining (a), (b) we get $\zeta_A(q^n - 1) = \frac{(-1)^n}{L_n} \tilde{\pi}^{q^n - 1}$.

2.5. Anderson-Thakur polynomials. First we define polynomials $G_i \in \mathbb{F}_q[t, \theta]$ for $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Put $G_0 = 1$. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$G_i = \prod_{j=1}^i (t^{q^j} - \theta^{q^j}).$$

For $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ we define the sequence of Anderson-Thakur polynomials $H_n \in A[t]$ by the generating function identity

$$\left(1 - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{G_i}{D_i|_{\theta=t}} x^{q^i}\right)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{H_n}{\Gamma_{n+1}|_{\theta=t}} x^n.$$

We note that for $0 \leq n \leq q-1$ we have $H_n = 1$. The following two identities follows from the above definition:

$$(a) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{H_n}{\Gamma_{n+1}|_{\theta=t}} x^n = \sum_{m \geq 0} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{G_i}{D_i|_{\theta=t}} x^{q^i} \right)^m,$$

$$(b) \left(1 - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{G_i}{D_i|_{\theta=t}} x^{q^i}\right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{H_n}{\Gamma_{n+1}|_{\theta=t}} x^n\right) = 1,$$

For any infinite vector $\underline{a} = (a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots)$ with integers $a_i \geq 0$ and $a_j = 0$ for $j \gg 0$, put $m(\underline{a}) :=$ last index i such that $a_i \neq 0$. We define $C_{\underline{a}} := \frac{(a_0 + \dots + a_{m(\underline{a})})!}{a_0! \dots a_{m(\underline{a})}!}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a q power weighted partition is an infinite vector $\underline{a}$ satisfying $n = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i q^i$. We have the following lemma giving two ways for explicitly writing Anderson-Thakur polynomials:

Lemma 2.6.

(a) For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $S_n = \{\underline{a} \mid n = \sum a_i q^i, C_{\underline{a}} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}$ denote the set of all possible q power weighted partition of n with nonzero $C_{\underline{a}} \pmod{p}$. Then

$$\frac{H_n}{\Gamma_{n+1}|_{\theta=t}} = \sum_{\underline{a} \in S_n} C_{\underline{a}} \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{G_i}{D_i|_{\theta=t}} \right)^{a_i}.$$

(b) For $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\frac{H_n}{\Gamma_{n+1}|_{\theta=t}} = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log_q n \rfloor} \frac{G_i}{D_i|_{\theta=t}} \frac{H_{n-q^i}}{\Gamma_{n-q^i+1}|_{\theta=t}}.$$

We will discuss more details about Anderson-Thakur polynomials in Section 3.

2.7. Revisiting Lucas Theorem. To compute $C_{\underline{a}} \bmod p$, a useful tool is a generalization of the Lucas Theorem.

Theorem 2.8. (*Dickson [6]*) *For any infinite vector $\underline{a}$, $C_{\underline{a}} \not\equiv 0 \bmod p$ if and only if there is no carrying in computing the sum $a_1 + \cdots + a_{m(\underline{a})}$ in terms of base p expansion. Furthermore, if $\sum a_i = \sum_{j=0}^m n_j p^j$, $a_i = \sum_{j=0}^m n_{i,j} p^j$ with $0 \leq n_j, n_{i,j} \leq p-1$. Then $C_{\underline{a}} \equiv \prod C_{\underline{n}_j}$ in $\mathbb{F}_p$, where $\underline{n}_j = (n_{0,j}, \dots, n_{m(\underline{a}),j}, 0, 0, \dots)$.*

Proof. See [6, p.75-76]. □

By Theorem 2.8 we see that $C_{\underline{a}} \bmod p$ can be computed as digits in base p expansion separately. So we try to descend H_n via the maps below. For simplicity we view $C_{\underline{a}}$ as elements in $\mathbb{F}_p$.

Definition 2.9. For any infinite vector $\underline{a}$ with $C_{\underline{a}} \neq 0$, let $\tilde{\underline{a}} = (\tilde{a}_0, \tilde{a}_1, \dots)$, where $a_i \equiv \tilde{a}_i \bmod q$ with $0 \leq \tilde{a}_i \leq q-1$. We define the following ‘reduction map’ of vectors.

$$\tilde{\underline{a}} := \left(\frac{a_0 - \tilde{a}_0}{q}, \frac{a_1 - \tilde{a}_1}{q}, \dots \right).$$

By Theorem 2.8 we see that

Lemma 2.10. $C_{\underline{a}} = C_{\tilde{\underline{a}}} C_{\tilde{\tilde{\underline{a}}}}$.

2.11. Binomial series to the Carlitz module. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, let $\Psi_k(u)$ be the polynomials in $K[u]$ defined by

$$\exp_C(u \log_C(x)) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \Psi_k(u) x^{q^k}.$$

The polynomials $\Psi_k(x)$ are analogues to the classical binomial series

$$\binom{x}{n} \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$$

to the multiplicative group, which are defined by

$$(1+t)^x = \exp(x \log(1+t)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{x}{n} t^n.$$

Proposition 2.12. (*Anderson-Thakur [1]*)

$$\Psi_k(x) = \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{\prod_{j=1}^i (\theta^{q^i} - \theta^{q^{k+j}})}{D_i} \left(\frac{x}{(-1)^k L_k} \right)^{q^i}.$$

Moreover, $\Psi_k(a) = 0$ for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_q[\theta]$ with $\deg_{\theta} a < k$ and $\Psi_k(\theta^k) = 1$.

This result is another key tool in the proof of Theorem 3.3. For our purpose, we replace θ by t in Anderson-Thakur’s result so that $\Psi_k(x)|_{\theta=t} \in \mathbb{F}_q(t)[x]$.

2.13. Conjectures on Eulerian/Zeta-like Multizeta Values. There are families of zeta-like multizeta values of arbitrary depth, for instance, in [9], they showed that for any q , $\zeta_A(1, q-1, (q-1)q, \dots, (q-1)q^n)$ is zeta-like by giving the ratio of it to $\zeta_A(q^{n+1})$. There are certainly more families of zeta-like multizeta values of arbitrary depth, the following conjecture is given by [9]:

Conjecture 2.14.

(a) For any $q, n \geq 1$ and $r \geq 2$,

$$\zeta_A(q^n - 1, (q-1)q^n, \dots, (q-1)q^{n+r-2}) = \frac{[n+r-2][n+r-3] \cdots [n]}{[1]^{q^{n+r-2}} [2]^{q^{n+r-3}} \cdots [r-1]^{q^n}} \zeta_A(q^{n+r-1} - 1).$$

(b) For any $q, n \geq 0$,

$$\zeta_A(1, q^2 - 1, (q-1)q^2, \dots, (q-1)q^{n+1}) = \frac{[n+2] - 1}{l_1[n+2]} \frac{1}{l_1^{(q-1)q^n} l_2^{(q-1)q^{n-1}} \cdots l_{n-1}^{(q-1)q^2} l_n^{q^2}} \zeta_A(q^{n+2}),$$

where $l_i := (-1)^i L_i$.

(c) For $q > 2$, $n \geq 0$ and $r \geq 2$,

$$\zeta_A((q-1)q^n - 1, (q-1)q^{n+1}, \dots, (q-1)q^{n+r-1}) = \frac{(-1)^{r+1} [n+r-1][n+r-2] \cdots [n+1]}{[1]^{(q^{r-1}-1)q^n} [2]^{(q^{r-2}-1)q^n} \cdots [r-1]^{(q-1)q^n}} \zeta_A(q^{n+r} - q^n - 1).$$

Remark 2.14.1. In [9] Conjecture 2.14 is proved in the depth 2 case. We refer to [9] for more details, in particular [9, Theorem 3.1], where many depth 2 zeta-like multizeta values are given with precise ratio to $\zeta_A(w)$.

Basing on Chang-Papanikolas-Yu criterion for Eulerian/zeta-like in [4], Kuan-Lin [7] wrote an algorithm and tested multizeta values with bounded weights and depths by computer. From their output data, they gave another more extensive conjecture about zeta-like families of arbitrary depth and also specific depth 3 zeta-like multizeta values.

Conjecture 2.15. (Kuan-Lin-Yu) Suppose that $q > 2$. Then we have the following families of zeta-like multizeta values:

(a) For $q = p^l > 2$, $1 \leq p^m \leq q$, $n > 0$ and $r \geq 2$, consider $N_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ such that $1 \leq \sum N_i \leq q-1$. If $(q-1)(q^n - \sum N_i q^i) \leq p^m(q-1)q^{n-1}$, then $\zeta_A(q^n - \sum N_i q^i, p^m(q-1)q^{n-1}, \dots, p^m(q-1)q^{n+r-3})$ is zeta-like. In particular $\zeta_A(1, p^m(q-1), p^m q(q-1), \dots, p^m q^{r-2}(q-1))$ is zeta-like.

(b) In the case of depth $r = 3$,

$$\zeta_A(1, q(q-1), q^3 - q^2 + q - 1) = \frac{[3] - 1}{[3][2][1]^{q^2 - q - 1}} \zeta_A(q^3).$$

Remark 2.15.1. Conjecture 2.14 (c) is a special case of Conjecture 2.15(b) by taking $p^m = q$, $N_0 = N_n = 1$ and $N_i = 0$ for $0 < i < n$.

Note that when the weight w is 'even', the statement that $\zeta_A(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ is zeta-like is equivalent to that it is Eulerian. In Section 4 we will prove non-Eulerian part of Conjecture 2.14 and Conjecture 2.15. The Eulerian part of Conjecture 2.14 will be treated in Section 5

3. INVESTIGATION INTO ANDERSON-THAKUR POLYNOMIALS

In general Anderson-Thakur polynomials H_n are complicated to investigate. However, for index n having very special q -adic expansion, we can give a nicer and simpler formula for such H_n . For example, to prove Conjecture 2.15 (b), we need to compute the corresponding Anderson-Thakur polynomials $H_0, H_{q^2-q-1}, H_{q^3-q^2+q-2}$ and H_{q^3-1} . It is known that $H_0 = 1$. On the other hand, it can be directly proved that $H_{q^2-q-1} = \Gamma_{q^2-q}$, $H_{q^3-1} = \Gamma_{q^3}$ and $H_{q^2-q} = \Gamma_{q^2-q+1}|_{\theta=t} \frac{(t - \theta^q)^{q-1}}{L_1^{q-1}|_{\theta=t}}$. (These are special cases of Theorem 3.3) For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let S_m denotes the set of all q power weighted partition $\underline{a}$ of m with $C_{\underline{a}} \neq 0$. Furthermore, for given $\underline{\tilde{a}}$ with $0 \leq \tilde{a}_i \leq q-1$, let $S_{m, \underline{\tilde{a}}}$ be the subset of S_m collecting $\underline{a}$ satisfying $a_i \equiv \tilde{a}_i \pmod{q}$ for all i . By Lemma 2.6 and using the reduction maps $\tilde{\underline{a}}$, we can compute $H_{q^3-q^2+q-2}$.

Proposition 3.1.

$$H_{q^3-q^2+q-2} = -[2]^{q-2} \{ (t - \theta^q)^{q^2-q+1} + [1]^{q^2-1} (t - \theta^q) \}.$$

Proof. For any q power weighted partition $(a_0, a_1, a_2, 0, 0, \dots)$ of $q^3 - q^2 + q - 2$, we see that $a_0 \equiv q - 2 = p - 2 + (p - 1)p + \dots + (p - 1)p^{l-1} \pmod{q}$. Let $a_i \equiv a_{i,0} + a_{i,1}p + \dots + a_{i,l-1}p^{l-1} \pmod{q}$, where $i = 1, 2$ and $0 \leq a_{i,j} \leq p - 1$ for $j > 1$. It follows that if $C_{\underline{a}} \neq 0$, then $a_{1,0} + a_{2,0} \leq 1$ and $a_{1,j} + a_{2,j} = 0$ for $j > 0$. This implies $\underline{\tilde{a}} = (q - 2, 0, 0, \dots)$ or $(q - 2, 1, 0, \dots)$ or $(q - 2, 0, 1, \dots)$ and hence $S_{q^3-q^2+q-2}$ is the disjoint union of $S_{q^3-q^2+q-2, (q-2,0,0)}$, $S_{q^3-q^2+q-2, (q-2,1,0)}$ and $S_{q^3-q^2+q-2, (q-2,0,1)}$. We have the following reductions, which are bijective:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\underline{a}} &: S_{q^3-q^2+q-2, (q-2,0,0)} \rightarrow S_{q^2-q} \\ \tilde{\underline{a}} &: S_{q^3-q^2+q-2, (q-2,1,0)} \rightarrow S_{q^2-q-1} \\ \tilde{\underline{a}} &: S_{q^3-q^2+q-2, (q-2,0,1)} \rightarrow S_{q^2-2q}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we see that $C_{\underline{a}} = C_{\tilde{\underline{a}}}$ if $\underline{\tilde{a}} = (q - 2, 0, 0, \dots)$ and $C_{\underline{a}} = -C_{\tilde{\underline{a}}}$ if $\underline{\tilde{a}} = (q - 2, 1, 0, \dots)$ or $(q - 2, 0, 1, \dots)$. We obtain from Lemma 2.6 that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{H_{q^3-q^2+q-2}}{\Gamma_{q^3-q^2+q-1}|_{\theta=t}} &= \sum_{\underline{\tilde{a}}} \sum_{\underline{a} \in S_{q^3-q^2+q-2, \underline{\tilde{a}}}} C_{\underline{a}} \left(\frac{G_0}{D_0|_{\theta=t}} \right)^{a_0} \prod_{i \geq 1} \left(\frac{G_i}{D_i|_{\theta=t}} \right)^{a_i} \\ &= \sum_{\underline{\tilde{a}}} \sum_{\underline{a} \in S_{q^3-q^2+q-2, \underline{\tilde{a}}}} C_{\underline{a}} C_{\tilde{\underline{a}}} \left(\frac{G_0}{D_0|_{\theta=t}} \right)^{q\tilde{a}_0 + \tilde{a}_0} \prod_{i \geq 1} \left(\frac{G_i}{D_i|_{\theta=t}} \right)^{q\tilde{a}_i + \tilde{a}_i} \\ &= \left(\frac{H_{q^2-q}}{\Gamma_{q^2-q+1}|_{\theta=t}} \right)^q - \frac{G_1}{D_1|_{\theta=t}} \left(\frac{H_{q^2-q-1}}{\Gamma_{q^2-q}|_{\theta=t}} \right)^q - \frac{G_2}{D_2|_{\theta=t}} \left(\frac{H_{q^2-2q}}{\Gamma_{q^2-2q+1}|_{\theta=t}} \right)^q \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.6 (b), we have

$$\frac{H_{q^2-q}}{\Gamma_{q^2-q+1}|_{\theta=t}} = \frac{H_{q^2-q-1}}{\Gamma_{q^2-q}|_{\theta=t}} + \frac{G_1}{D_1|_{\theta=t}} \frac{H_{q^2-2q}}{\Gamma_{q^2-2q+1}|_{\theta=t}}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{H_{q^3-q^2+q-2}}{\Gamma_{q^3-q^2+q-1}|_{\theta=t}} &= \left(\frac{H_{q^2-q}}{\Gamma_{q^2-q+1}|_{\theta=t}}\right)^q - \frac{G_1}{D_1|_{\theta=t}} - \frac{G_2}{D_2|_{\theta=t}} \left(\frac{D_1|_{\theta=t}}{G_1}\right)^q \left(\frac{H_{q^2-q}}{\Gamma_{q^2-q+1}|_{\theta=t}} - 1\right)^q \\
&= \left(1 - \frac{G_2}{D_2|_{\theta=t}} \left(\frac{D_1|_{\theta=t}}{G_1}\right)^q\right) \left(\frac{(t-\theta^q)^{q-1}}{L_1^{q-1}|_{\theta=t}}\right)^q + \frac{G_2}{D_2|_{\theta=t}} \left(\frac{D_1|_{\theta=t}}{G_1}\right)^q - \frac{G_1}{D_1|_{\theta=t}} \\
&= \left(1 - \frac{(t^q - \theta^q)}{[2]|_{\theta=t}}\right) \left(\frac{(t-\theta^q)^{q-1}}{L_1^{q-1}|_{\theta=t}}\right)^q + \frac{(t^q - \theta^q)}{[2]|_{\theta=t}} - \frac{G_1}{D_1|_{\theta=t}} \\
&= \frac{-(t-\theta^q)^{q^2-q+1}}{[2][1]^{q(q-1)}|_{\theta=t}} + \frac{-(t-\theta^q)[1]^{q-1}|_{\theta=t}}{[2]|_{\theta=t}}
\end{aligned}$$

By definition of Γ -function, we can easily derive that

$$\Gamma_{q^3-q^2+q-1} = D_2^{q-1}$$

and the result follows. $\square$

3.2. Formula for Anderson-Thakur polynomials H_m with $m = q^n - \sum N_i q^i$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consider a tuple $(N_0, \dots, N_{n-1})$ with $N_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ satisfying $0 \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} N_i \leq q-1$. This implies $q^n - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} N_i q^i - 1 \geq 0$. We have the following formula for these special polynomials:

Theorem 3.3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ satisfying $0 \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} N_i \leq q-1$. Then*

$$\frac{H_{q^n - \sum N_i q^i - 1}}{\Gamma_{q^n - \sum N_i q^i}|_{\theta=t}} = \frac{(-1)^{\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} (n-1-i)N_i q^i}}{\prod_{i=0}^{n-2} L_{n-1-i}^{N_i q^i}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (t - \theta^{q^i})^{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1-i} N_j q^j} \quad (3.3.1).$$

The key idea is using Lemma 2.6 and Theorem 2.8 to descend Anderson-Thakur polynomials from H_n to suitable H_m with $m < n$.

Proof. Suppose that $q = p^l > 2$. We will prove by induction on n and N_i . For $n = 1$ it is clear that $\frac{H_{q-N_0-1}}{\Gamma_{q-N_0}|_{\theta=t}} = 1$ satisfying (3.3.1) for any $0 \leq N_0 \leq q-1$. Suppose that the statement holds for $H_{q^n - \sum N_i q^i - 1}$ with $1 \leq n < M$. Our goal is to prove the formula (3.3.1) holds for $H_{q^M - \sum N_i q^i - 1}$. We split the proof into two steps.

Step1 The formula holds for $H_{q^M - N - 1}$ with $0 \leq N \leq q-1$.

Now we start the case H_{q^M-1} corresponding to $N_i = 0$ for $i = 0, \dots, M-1$. Let $\underline{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_{M-1}, 0, 0, \dots)$ be a q power weighted partition of $q^M - 1$ with $C_{\underline{a}} \neq 0$, then $a_0 \equiv q-1 = (p-1) + \dots + (p-1)p^{l-1} \pmod{q}$. By Lemma 2.8, it forces $a_i \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$. Then by considering $\tilde{\underline{a}} = (q-1, 0, 0, \dots)$, we have that $\tilde{\underline{a}}$ is a q power weighted partition of $q^{M-1} - 1$. Conversely, if $\underline{a}' \in S_{q^{M-1}-1}$, let $\underline{a} = (qa'_0 + q-1, qa'_1, \dots, qa'_{M-1}, 0, 0, \dots)$, then $\underline{a} \in S_{q^M-1}$ and $\tilde{\underline{a}} = \underline{a}'$. Therefore,

$$\tilde{\underline{a}} : S_{q^{M-1}} \rightarrow S_{q^{M-1}-1}$$

is bijective. Moreover, $C_{\underline{a}} = C_{\tilde{\underline{a}}}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{H_{q^M-1}}{\Gamma_{q^M}|\theta=t} &= \sum_{\underline{a} \in S_{q^M-1}} C_{\underline{a}} \left(\frac{G_0}{D_0|\theta=t} \right)^{a_0} \prod_{j \geq 1} \left(\frac{G_j}{D_j|\theta=t} \right)^{a_j} \\
&= \sum_{\underline{a}' \in S_{q^{M-1}-1}} C_{\underline{a}'} \left(\frac{G_0}{D_0|\theta=t} \right)^{qa'_0+q-1} \prod_{j \geq 1} \left(\frac{G_j}{D_j|\theta=t} \right)^{qa'_j} \\
&= \left(\frac{H_{q^{M-1}-1}}{\Gamma_{q^{M-1}}|\theta=t} \right)^q = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Here the last step is by induction hypothesis.

Suppose that the formula (3.3.1) holds for $q^M - N' - 1$ with $0 \leq N' < N \leq q - 1$. By Lemma 2.6 (b) we have

$$\frac{H_{q^M-(N-1)-1}}{\Gamma_{q^M-(N-1)}|\theta=t} = \frac{H_{q^M-N-1}}{\Gamma_{q^M-N-1}|\theta=t} + \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \frac{G_i}{D_i|\theta=t} \frac{H_{q^M-(N-1)-q^i-1}}{\Gamma_{q^M-N-q^i+1}|\theta=t}.$$

Note that $q^M - (N-1) - q^i - 1$ and $q^M - (N-1) - 1$ are congruent to $q - N \pmod{q}$. If we consider all possible vectors $\tilde{\underline{a}} = (q - N, \tilde{a}_1, \dots, a_{M-1}, 0, 0, \dots)$ with $0 \leq \tilde{a}_i \leq q - 1$ and $C_{\tilde{\underline{a}}} \neq 0$, then $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \tilde{a}_j = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \tilde{a}_j \leq q - 1$, which implies $\sum_{j \geq 1} \tilde{a}_j \leq N - 1 \leq q - 2$. It follows that

$$q^M - (N-1) - q^i - 1 - \sum_{j \geq 0} \tilde{a}_j q^j = q^M - q^i - \sum_{j \geq 1} \tilde{a}_j q^j - q \geq q^M - (q-1)q^{M-1} - q \geq 0.$$

Similarly, $q^M - (N-1) - 1 - \sum_{j \geq 0} \tilde{a}_j q^j \geq 0$. Hence for a given $\tilde{\underline{a}}$ we have two reduction maps

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\underline{a}} &: S_{q^M-(N-1)-q^i-1, \tilde{\underline{a}}} \rightarrow S_{q^{M-1}-q^{i-1}-1-\sum_{j \geq 1} \tilde{a}_j q^{j-1}} \\
\tilde{\underline{a}} &: S_{q^M-(N-1)-1, \tilde{\underline{a}}} \rightarrow S_{q^{M-1}-1-\sum_{j \geq 1} \tilde{a}_j q^{j-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By argument similar to the above, we see further that they are bijective. Since $S_{q^M-(N-1)-q^i-1} = \bigcup_{\tilde{\underline{a}}} S_{q^M-(N-1)-q^i-1, \tilde{\underline{a}}}$, by Lemma 2.6 (a) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{H_{q^M-(N-1)-q^i-1}}{\Gamma_{q^M-(N-1)-q^i}|\theta=t} &= \sum_{\tilde{\underline{a}}} \sum_{\underline{a} \in S_{q^M-(N-1)-q^i-1, \tilde{\underline{a}}}} C_{\underline{a}} \left(\frac{G_0}{D_0|\theta=t} \right)^{a_0} \prod_{j \geq 1} \left(\frac{G_j}{D_j|\theta=t} \right)^{a_j} \\
&= \sum_{\tilde{\underline{a}}} \sum_{\underline{a} \in S_{q^M-(N-1)-q^i-1, \tilde{\underline{a}}}} C_{\tilde{\underline{a}}} C_{\tilde{\underline{a}}} \left(\frac{G_0}{D_0|\theta=t} \right)^{q\tilde{a}_0+\tilde{a}_0} \prod_{j \geq 1} \left(\frac{G_j}{D_j|\theta=t} \right)^{q\tilde{a}_j+\tilde{a}_j} \\
&= \sum_{\tilde{\underline{a}}} \sum_{\underline{a}' \in S_{q^{M-1}-q^{i-1}-1-\sum_{j \geq 1} \tilde{a}_j q^{j-1}}} C_{\tilde{\underline{a}}} C_{\underline{a}'} \left(\frac{G_0}{D_0|\theta=t} \right)^{qa'_0+\tilde{a}_0} \prod_{j \geq 1} \left(\frac{G_j}{D_j|\theta=t} \right)^{qa'_j+\tilde{a}_j} \\
&= \sum_{\tilde{\underline{a}}} C_{\tilde{\underline{a}}} \prod_{j \geq 0} \left(\frac{G_j}{D_j|\theta=t} \right)^{\tilde{a}_j} \left(\frac{H_{q^{M-1}-q^{i-1}-1-\sum_{j \geq 1} \tilde{a}_j q^{j-1}}}{\Gamma_{q^{M-1}-q^{i-1}-\sum_{j \geq 1} \tilde{a}_j q^{j-1}}|\theta=t} \right)^q
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\frac{H_{q^M-(N-1)-1}}{\Gamma_{q^M-(N-1)}|\theta=t} = \sum_{\tilde{\underline{a}}} C_{\tilde{\underline{a}}} \prod_{j \geq 0} \left(\frac{G_j}{D_j|\theta=t} \right)^{\tilde{a}_j} \left(\frac{H_{q^{M-1}-1-\sum_{j \geq 1} \tilde{a}_j q^{j-1}}}{\Gamma_{q^{M-1}-\sum_{j \geq 1} \tilde{a}_j q^{j-1}}|\theta=t} \right)^q.$$

Now for each $\underline{a}$, $q^{M-1} - q^{i-1} - 1 - \sum_{j \geq 1} \tilde{a}_j q^{j-1}$ can be written as $q^{M-1} - \sum_{j=0}^{M-2} \tilde{N}_j q^j - 1$, where

$$\tilde{N}_j = a_{j+1} \text{ if } j \neq i-1 \text{ and } \tilde{N}_{i-1} = \tilde{a}_i + 1.$$

Since $\sum_{j=0}^{M-2} \tilde{N}_j = 1 + \sum_{j \geq 1} \tilde{a}_j \leq q - N + \sum_{j \geq 1} \tilde{a}_j = \sum_{j \geq 0} \tilde{a}_j \leq q - 1$. So by induction hypothesis on $M-1 < M$, one can show that

$$\frac{H_{q^{M-1}-q^{i-1}-1-\sum_{j \geq 1} \tilde{a}_j q^{j-1}}}{\Gamma_{q^{M-1}-q^{i-1}-\sum_{j \geq 1} \tilde{a}_j q^{j-1}}|_{\theta=t}} = \frac{H_{q^{M-1}-1-\sum_{j \geq 1} \tilde{a}_j q^{j-1}}}{\Gamma_{q^{M-1}-\sum_{j \geq 1} \tilde{a}_j q^{j-1}}|_{\theta=t}} \frac{(-1)^{M-2-(i-1)q^{i-1}}}{L_{M-2-(i-1)}^{q^{i-1}}} \prod_{j=1}^{M-2-(i-1)} (t - \theta^{q^j})^{q^{i-1}}.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{H_{q^M-(N-1)-q^i-1}}{\Gamma_{q^M-(N-1)-q^i}|_{\theta=t}} = \frac{H_{q^M-(N-1)-1}}{\Gamma_{q^M-(N-1)}|_{\theta=t}} \frac{(-1)^{M-i-1}}{L_{M-i-1}^{q^i}} \prod_{j=1}^{M-i-1} (t - \theta^{q^j})^{q^i}.$$

It remains to prove that

$$1 - \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \frac{G_i}{D_i|_{\theta=t}} \frac{(-1)^{M-i-1}}{L_{M-i-1}^{q^i}} \prod_{j=1}^{M-i-1} (t - \theta^{q^j})^{q^i} = \frac{(-1)^{M-1}}{L_{M-1}} \prod_{j=1}^{M-1} (t - \theta^{q^j}).$$

Let

$$f(\theta) := 1 - \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \frac{G_i}{D_i|_{\theta=t}} \frac{(-1)^{M-i-1}}{L_{M-i-1}^{q^i}} \prod_{j=1}^{M-i-1} (t - \theta^{q^j})^{q^i}$$

Let U denotes the collection of all subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, M-1\}$. For $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_m\} \in U$, we put $\theta^I = \theta^{q^{i_1} + \dots + q^{i_m}}$, and $|I| = m$, the number of elements in I . Then for $i = 0, \dots, M-1$,

$$G_i \prod_{j=1}^{M-i-1} (t - \theta^{q^j})^{q^i} = \prod_{j=1}^{M-1} (t^{q^i} - \theta^{q^j}) = \sum_{I \in U} \theta^I (-1)^{|I|} (t^{q^i})^{M-1-|I|}.$$

Since for distinct I_1, I_2 , $\theta^{I_1} \neq \theta^{I_2}$, we have

$$f(\theta) = 1 - \sum_{I \in U} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \frac{(t^{q^i})^{M-1-|I|}}{(-1)^{M-i-1} D_i L_{M-i-1}^{q^i}|_{\theta=t}} \right) \theta^I (-1)^{|I|}.$$

Observe that

$$\frac{1}{(-1)^{M-i-1} L_{M-i-1}^{q^i}|_{\theta=t}} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^i (t^{q^i} - t^{q^{j+M-1}})}{(-1)^{M-1} L_{M-1}^{q^i}|_{\theta=t}}.$$

It follows that

$$f(\theta) = 1 - \sum_{I \in U} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^i (t^{q^i} - t^{q^{j+M-1}}) (t^{q^i})^{M-1-|I|}}{(-1)^{M-1} D_i L_{M-1}^{q^i}|_{\theta=t}} \right) \theta^I (-1)^{|I|} = 1 - \sum_{I \in U} \Psi_{M-1}|_{\theta=t} (t^{M-1-|I|}) \theta^I (-1)^{|I|}.$$

By Proposition 2.12, $\Psi_{M-1}|_{\theta=t} (t^{M-1-|I|}) = 0$ if $M-1-|I| < M-1$, that is to say $|I| > 0$, and $\Psi_{M-1}|_{\theta=t} (t^{M-1-|I|}) = 1$ if $|I| = 0$, that is to say I is the empty set. In the later condition $\theta^I (-1)^{|I|} = 1$ and hence $f(\theta) = 1 - 1 = 0$. By the claim we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{H_{q^M-N-1}}{\Gamma_{q^M-N}|\theta=t} &= \frac{H_{q^{M-(N-1)-1}}}{\Gamma_{q^{M-(N-1)}}|\theta=t} \frac{(-1)^{M-1}}{L_{M-1}} \prod_{j=1}^{M-1} (t - \theta^{q^j}) \\
&= \frac{(-1)^{(M-1)(N-1)}}{L_{M-1}^{N-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{M-1} (t - \theta^{q^i})^{N-1} \frac{(-1)^{M-1}}{L_{M-1}} \prod_{j=1}^{M-1} (t - \theta^{q^j}) \\
&= \frac{(-1)^{(M-1)N}}{L_{M-1}^N} \prod_{i=1}^{M-1} (t - \theta^{q^i})^N.
\end{aligned}$$

Step2 The formula holds for $H_{q^M-\sum_{i=0}^D N_i q^i-1}$ with $1 \leq D \leq M-1$.

Suppose that $H_{q^M-\sum_{i=0}^d N'_i q^i-1}$ satisfies the formula (3.3.1) for $0 \leq d < D \leq M-1$ and $0 \leq \sum_{i=0}^d N'_i q^i \leq q-1$. We will prove that $H_{q^M-\sum_{i=0}^D N_i q^i-1}$ satisfies the formula (3.3.1) for $0 \leq \sum_{i=0}^D N_i q^i \leq q-1$ with $N_D \neq 0$. For $1 \leq N_D \leq q-1$ and $\sum_{i=0}^D N_i q^i \leq q-1$, we have

$$q^M - \sum_{i=0}^{D-1} N_i q^i - (N_D - 1)q^D - 1 \equiv q^M - \sum_{i=0}^{D-1} N_i q^i - N_D q^D - 1 \equiv q - (N_0 + 1) \pmod{q}.$$

Put $N_k = 0$ for $k > D$. Then for any tuple $\tilde{a} = (q - (N_0 + 1), \tilde{a}_1, \dots, a_{M-1})$ with $C_{\tilde{a}} \neq 0$, $\sum_{j=1}^{M-1} \tilde{a}_j \leq q-1 - \tilde{a}_0 = N_0$. Also we have $\sum_{j \geq 1} N_j \leq q-1 - N_0$. This implies that

$$q^M - \sum_{j \geq 0} (N_j + \tilde{a}_j) q^j - 1 = q^M - \sum_{j \geq 1} (N_j + \tilde{a}_j) q^j - q \geq q^M - (q-1)q^{M-1} - q \geq 0$$

for $M \geq 2$. Similarly we have $q^M + q^D - \sum_{j \geq 0} (N_j + \tilde{a}_j) q^j - 1 \geq 0$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{a} &: S_{q^M-\sum_{i=0}^{D-1} N_i q^i - (N_D-1)q^D-1, \tilde{a}} \rightarrow S_{q^{M-1}+q^{D-1}-\sum_{j \geq 1} (N_j + \tilde{a}_j) q^{j-1}-1} \\
\tilde{a} &: S_{q^M-\sum_{i=0}^{D-1} N_i q^i - N_D q^D-1, \tilde{a}} \rightarrow S_{q^{M-1}-\sum_{j \geq 1} (N_j + \tilde{a}_j) q^{j-1}-1}
\end{aligned}$$

are bijective. By Lemma 2.6(a) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{H_{q^M-\sum_{i=0}^{D-1} N_i q^i - (N_D-1)q^D-1}}{\Gamma_{q^M-\sum_{i=0}^{D-1} N_i q^i - (N_D-1)q^D}|\theta=t} &= \sum_{\tilde{a}} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in S_{q^M-\sum_{i=0}^{D-1} N_i q^i - (N_D-1)q^D-1, \tilde{a}}} \left(\frac{G_0}{D_0|\theta=t}\right)^{a_0} \prod_{j \geq 1} \left(\frac{G_i}{D_i|\theta=t}\right)^{a_i} \\
&= \sum_{\tilde{a}} C_{\tilde{a}} \prod_{j \geq 0} \left(\frac{G_i}{D_i|\theta=t}\right)^{\tilde{a}_i} \left(\frac{H_{q^{M-1}+q^{D-1}-\sum_{j \geq 1} (N_j + \tilde{a}_j) q^{j-1}-1}}{\Gamma_{q^{M-1}+q^{D-1}-\sum_{j \geq 1} (N_j + \tilde{a}_j) q^{j-1}}|\theta=t}\right)_q
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{H_{q^M-\sum_{i=0}^{D-1} N_i q^i - N_D q^D-1}}{\Gamma_{q^M-\sum_{i=0}^{D-1} N_i q^i - N_D q^D}|\theta=t} &= \sum_{\tilde{a}} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in S_{q^M-\sum_{i=0}^{D-1} N_i q^i - (N_D-1)q^D-1, \tilde{a}}} \left(\frac{G_0}{D_0|\theta=t}\right)^{a_0} \prod_{j \geq 1} \left(\frac{G_i}{D_i|\theta=t}\right)^{a_i} \\
&= \sum_{\tilde{a}} C_{\tilde{a}} \prod_{j \geq 0} \left(\frac{G_i}{D_i|\theta=t}\right)^{\tilde{a}_i} \left(\frac{H_{q^{M-1}-\sum_{j \geq 1} (N_j + \tilde{a}_j) q^{j-1}-1}}{\Gamma_{q^{M-1}-\sum_{j \geq 1} (N_j + \tilde{a}_j) q^{j-1}}|\theta=t}\right)_q
\end{aligned}$$

By induction hypothesis on $M - 1 < M$, we deduce that

$$\frac{H_{q^M - \sum_{i=0}^{D-1} N_i q^i - N_D q^D - 1}}{\Gamma_{q^M - \sum_{i=0}^{D-1} N_i q^i - N_D q^D} |_{\theta=t}} = \frac{H_{q^M - \sum_{i=0}^{D-1} N_i q^i - (N_D - 1) q^D - 1}}{\Gamma_{q^M - \sum_{i=0}^{D-1} N_i q^i - (N_D - 1) q^D} |_{\theta=t}} \frac{(-1)^{(M-D-1)q^D}}{L_{M-D-1}^{q^D}} [(t - \theta^q) \cdots (t - \theta^{q^{M-D-1}})]^{q^D}.$$

So if we start from the case $N_D = 1$, we can prove that the formula (3.3.1) holds for $1 \leq N_D \leq q - 1$ by the above relation and the induction hypothesis of $q^M - \sum_{i=0}^{D-1} N'_i q^i - 1$. $\square$

4. MAIN RESULT ON ZETA-LIKE MULTIZETA VALUES

In this section we will prove Conjecture 2.14 (b) with $q > 2$ and Conjecture 2.15.

4.1. Frobenius twisting. We fix the following automorphism of the field of Laurent series over $\mathbb{C}_\infty$, which is referred to as *Frobenius twisting*:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}_\infty((t)) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty((t)), \\ f := \sum_i a_i t^i &\mapsto f^{(-1)} := \sum_i a_i \frac{1}{q} t^i. \end{aligned}$$

In [4], the following criterion is proved for deciding zeta-like multizeta values in terms of Anderson-Thakur polynomials.

Theorem 4.2. (*Chang-Papanikolas-Yu* [4, Theorem 2.5.2, 4.4.2]) *Given a tuple $(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r)$, then $\zeta(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ is zeta-like if and only if there exist $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r \in \overline{K}[t]$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 &= \delta_1^{(-1)}(t - \theta)^w + \delta_2^{(-1)} H_{s_1-1}^{(-1)}(t - \theta)^w + b H_{w-1}^{(-1)}(t - \theta)^w; \\ \delta_2 &= \delta_2^{(-1)}(t - \theta)^{s_2 + \dots + s_r} + \delta_3^{(-1)} H_{s_2-1}^{(-1)}(t - \theta)^{s_2 + \dots + s_r}; \\ &\vdots \\ \delta_{r-1} &= \delta_{r-1}^{(-1)}(t - \theta)^{s_{r-1} + s_r} + \delta_r^{(-1)} H_{s_{r-1}-1}^{(-1)}(t - \theta)^{s_{r-1} + s_r}; \\ \delta_r &= \delta_r^{(-1)}(t - \theta)^{s_r} + a H_{s_r-1}^{(-1)}(t - \theta)^{s_r}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.2.1}$$

where $H_{s_1-1}, \dots, H_{s_{r-1}-1}, H_{w-1}$ are Anderson-Thakur polynomials.

Furthermore, if $q - 1$ does not divide $\sum s_i$, then we have

$$a(\theta) \Gamma_{s_1} \cdots \Gamma_{s_r} \zeta_A(s_1, \dots, s_r) + b(\theta) \Gamma_w \zeta_A(w) = 0$$

Remark 4.2.2. If $(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r, a, b)$ are solutions of (4.2.1), then for any nonzero $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$, $(f\delta_1, \dots, f\delta_r, fa, fb)$ is also a solution of (4.2.1).

Basing on this theorem, our strategy for proving given multizeta values to be zeta-like is to actually solve system of Equations 4.2.1 by finding $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r \in \overline{K}[t]$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$. Since we are interested in tuples $(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ with s_i of very special q -adic “shape”, solution (a, δ_r) can be given immediately. Then an inductive procedure is used to go from a solution $(a', \delta'_j, \dots, \delta'_r)$ of a subsystem of (4.2.1) with $r - j + 1$ equations to a solution $(a, \delta_{j-1}, \dots, \delta_r)$ of a subsystem of (4.2.1) with $r - j + 2$ equations. This is a content of the following proposition.

Proposition 4.3. Fix $1 \leq p^M \leq q$. For any $r \geq 2$ and $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, let $s_i = p^M(q-1)q^{m+i-2}$ for $i = 2, \dots, r$. Let $(a, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_r)$ be defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_r &:= [2]^{p^M q^{r+m-3}} \cdots [r-1]^{p^M q^m} \Gamma_{p^M q^{m+r-2}(q-1)}; \\
 f_i &:= \frac{-f_{i+1}}{[r-i+1]^{p^M q^{m+i-2}}} \Gamma_{p^M q^{m+i-2}(q-1)} \text{ for } j \leq i < r; \\
 \delta_i &:= f_i|_{\theta=t} [(t-\theta) \cdots (t-\theta^{\frac{1}{q^{r-i}}})]^{p^M q^{r+m-1}}; \\
 a &:= - \left[[1]^{p^M q^{r+m-2}} [2]^{p^M q^{r+m-3}} \cdots [r-1]^{p^M q^m} \right] |_{\theta=t}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.3.1}$$

Then for any j with $2 \leq j < r$, the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta_j &= \delta_j^{(-1)}(t-\theta)^{s_j+\cdots+s_r} + \delta_{j+1}^{(-1)} H_{s_{j-1}}^{(-1)}(t-\theta)^{s_j+\cdots+s_r}; \\
 &\vdots \\
 \delta_{r-1} &= \delta_{r-1}^{(-1)}(t-\theta)^{s_{r-1}+s_r} + \delta_r^{(-1)} H_{s_{r-1}-1}^{(-1)}(t-\theta)^{s_{r-1}+s_r}; \\
 \delta_r &= \delta_r^{(-1)}(t-\theta)^{s_r} + a H_{s_r-1}^{(-1)}(t-\theta)^{s_r}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.3.2}$$

can be solved explicitly with $(a, \delta_j, \dots, \delta_r)$ given by (4.3.1).

Remark 4.3.3. It follows from the recursive definition of f_i that

$$f_2 = (-1)^r \Gamma_{p^M(q-1)q^m} \cdots \Gamma_{p^M(q-1)q^{m+r-2}}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.3, we see that for $2 \leq i \leq r$ and $p^M = 1$ or q ,

$$H_{s_i-1} = \Gamma_{s_i}|_{\theta=t} = \Gamma_{p^M q^{m+i-2}(q-1)}.$$

For $1 < p^M < q$, since $p^M q^I(q-1) - 1 \equiv q-1$ if $I \geq 1$, by taking $\tilde{\underline{a}} = (q-1, 0, 0, \dots)$ we have the following reduction:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\underline{a}} &: S_{p^M q^{m+i-2}(q-1)-1} \rightarrow S_{p^M q^{m+i-3}(q-1)-1} \\
 \tilde{\underline{a}}^2 &: S_{p^M q^{m+i-3}(q-1)-1} \rightarrow S_{p^M q^{m+i-4}(q-1)-1} \\
 &\vdots \\
 \tilde{\underline{a}}^{m+i-2} &: S_{p^M q(q-1)-1} \rightarrow S_{p^M(q-1)-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here $\tilde{\underline{a}}^n$ means the iteration of $\tilde{\underline{a}}$ by n times. Using this sequence of reduction maps we have

$$\frac{H_{p^M q^{m+i-2}(q-1)-1}}{\Gamma_{p^M q^{m+i-2}(q-1)}|_{\theta=t}} = \left(\frac{H_{p^M(q-1)-1}}{\Gamma_{p^M(q-1)}|_{\theta=t}} \right)^{q^{m+i-2}}.$$

Write $p^M q - p^M - 1 = a_0 + a_1 q$. Then $a_0 \equiv q-1-p^M \pmod{q}$ and hence $a_0 \equiv 0, p^M \pmod{q}$ with $0 \leq a_1 < p^M$. This implies $C_{\underline{a}} \neq 0$ if and only if $(a_0, a_1, \dots) = (p^M q - p^M - 1, 0, 0, \dots)$.

Hence $\frac{H_{p^M(q-1)-1}}{\Gamma_{p^M(q-1)}|_{\theta=t}}$ equals 1 and so does $\frac{H_{p^M q^{m+i-2}(q-1)-1}}{\Gamma_{p^M q^{m+i-2}(q-1)}|_{\theta=t}}$.

$$(i) \delta_r = \delta_r^{(-1)}(t - \theta)^{s_r} + aH_{s_r-1}^{(-1)}(t - \theta)^{s_r}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_r^{(-1)}(t - \theta)^{s_r} + aH_{s_r-1}^{(-1)}(t - \theta)^{s_r} &= [f_r|_{\theta=t}(t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q}})^{p^M q^{r+m-1}} + a\Gamma_{p^M q^{m+r-2}(q-1)}|_{\theta=t}](t - \theta)^{p^M(q-1)q^{m+r-2}} \\ &= [f_r|_{\theta=t}(t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q}})^{p^M q^{r+m-1}} - f_r[1]^{p^M q^{r+m-2}}](t - \theta)^{p^M(q-1)q^{m+r-2}} \\ &= f_r(t - \theta)^{p^M q^{m+r-2}}(t - \theta)^{p^M(q-1)q^{m+r-2}} = \delta_r. \end{aligned}$$

$$(ii) \delta_i = \delta_i^{(-1)}(t - \theta)^{s_i + \dots + s_r} + \delta_{i+1}H_{s_i-1}^{(-1)}(t - \theta)^{s_i + \dots + s_r}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta_i^{(-1)} + \delta_{i+1}^{(-1)}H_{s_i-1}^{(-1)} \\ &= f_i|_{\theta=t}[(t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q}}) \dots (t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q^{r+1-i}}})]^{p^M q^{m+r-1}} + f_{i+1}|_{\theta=t}[(t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q}}) \dots (t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q^{r-i}}})]^{p^M q^{m+r-1}} H_{p^M(q-1)q^{m+i-2}-1}^{(-1)} \\ &= [(t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q}}) \dots (t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q^{r-i}}})]^{p^M q^{m+r-1}} [f_i|_{\theta=t}(t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q^{r+1-i}}})^{p^M q^{m+r-1}} + f_{i+1}|_{\theta=t}\Gamma_{p^M q^{m+i-2}(q-1)}|_{\theta=t}] \\ &= [(t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q}}) \dots (t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q^{r-i}}})]^{p^M q^{m+r-1}} [f_i|_{\theta=t}(t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q^{r+1-i}}})^{p^M q^{m+r-1}} - f_i|_{\theta=t}[r - i + 1]^{p^M q^{m+i-2}}|_{\theta=t}] \\ &= [(t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q}}) \dots (t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q^{r-i}}})]^{p^M q^{m+r-1}} f_i|_{\theta=t}[(t^{p^M q^{m+r-1}} - \theta^{p^M q^{m+i-2}}) - (t^{p^M q^{m+r-1}} - t^{p^M q^{m+i-2}})] \\ &= [(t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q}}) \dots (t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q^{r-i}}})]^{p^M q^{m+r-1}} f_i|_{\theta=t}(t - \theta)^{p^M q^{m+i-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (t - \theta)^{s_i + \dots + s_r} &= [(t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q}}) \dots (t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q^{r-i}}})]^{p^M q^{m+r-1}} f_i|_{\theta=t}(t - \theta)^{p^M q^{m+i-2}}(t - \theta)^{p^M(q^{r-i+1}-1)q^{m+i-2}} \\ &= \delta_i \end{aligned}$$

□

Now we begin to prove Conjecture 2.14 (b) and Conjecture 2.15 (a).

Theorem 4.4. *Suppose that $q > 2$.*

(a) $\zeta_A(1, q^2 - 1, (q - 1)q^2, \dots, (q - 1)q^{n+1})$ is zeta-like. In particular, we have

$$\zeta_A(1, q^2 - 1, (q - 1)q^2, \dots, (q - 1)q^{n+1}) = \frac{(-1)^{n+1}([n + 2] - 1)}{[1][n + 2]} \frac{1}{[1]^{q^{n+1}} \dots [n]^{q^2}} \zeta_A(q^{n+2}).$$

(b) For $n \geq 1$, $r \geq 2$ and $1 \leq p^m \leq q$, consider $N_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$ such that $1 \leq \sum N_i \leq q - 1$. If $(q - 1)(q^n - \sum N_i q^i) \leq p^m(q - 1)q^{n-1}$, then $\zeta_A(q^n - \sum N_i q^i, p^m(q - 1)q^{n-1}, \dots, p^m(q - 1)q^{n+r-3})$ is zeta-like. In particular, if $q - 1$ does not divide $q^n - \sum N_i q^i$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\zeta_A(q^n - \sum N_i q^i, p^m(q - 1)q^{n-1}, \dots, p^m(q - 1)q^{n+r-3}) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{(r-1)(1+\sum N_i)} L_{n+r-2}^{N_0 q^0} \dots L_{r-1}^{(N_{n-1} - (q-p^m))q^{n-1}}}{[1]^{p^m q^{n+r-3}} [2]^{p^m q^{n+r-4}} \dots [r-1]^{p^m q^{n-1}} L_{n-1}^{N_0 q^0} \dots L_1^{N_{n-2} q^{n-2}}} \zeta_A(p^m q^{n+r-2} - p^m q^{n-1} + q^n - \sum N_i q^i). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.4.1. The zeta-like part of Theorem 3.1 (1) in [9] is a special case of Theorem 4.4 (b) by taking $r = 2$. Also, the zeta-like part of Theorem 3.2 in [9] is a special case of Theorem 4.4 (b) by taking $n = 0, N_i = 0$.

Proof.

(a) Let $w = q^{n+2}$. Consider $p^M = 1, m = 1$ and $r = n + 2$ in Proposition 4.3. Let $s_1 = 1, s_2 = q^2 - 1$ and $s_i = (q - 1)q^{i-1}$ for $3 \leq i \leq n + 2$. If $n + 2 \geq 3$, we choose $f_i \in A, \delta_i \in \overline{K}[t]$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ the same as in Proposition 4.3 when $3 \leq i \leq n + 2$. Then by Proposition 4.3, δ_i and a satisfy subsystem of equations (4.3.1) for $j = 3$. If $n + 2 = 2$, we define $\delta_3 = a = -[1]^q|_{\theta=t}$. Now we let

$$\delta_2 = (-1)^n [n + 1]^q|_{\theta=t} \Gamma_w|_{\theta=t} [(t - \theta) \cdots (t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q^n}})]^{q^{n+2}} (t - \theta^q) \in A[t].$$

$$f = \{-[n + 2]L_1 L_2 \cdots L_{n+1}\}|_{\theta=t}$$

$$b = (-1)^n ([n + 2] - 1)|_{\theta=t} [n + 1]^q|_{\theta=t}.$$

We further put

$$B = (-1)^{n+1} [n + 1]^q|_{\theta=t} \Gamma_w|_{\theta=t}$$

$$F_0 = B(t - \theta)^w,$$

$$F_1 = B(t - \theta)^w (t - \theta^{\frac{w}{q}}),$$

$$F_i = F_{i-1}^{(-1)} (t - \theta)^w \quad \text{for } i = 2, \dots, n + 1.$$

and let $\delta_1 = \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} F_j$. From this recursive formula we can see that $\delta_2 = -F_{n+1}$. Our goal is to prove that $(fa, b, \delta_1, \delta_2, f\delta_3, \dots, f\delta_{n+2})$ satisfies the system of equations (4.2.1).

$$\text{Part I: } \delta_2 = \delta_2^{(-1)} (t - \theta)^{s_2 + \dots + s_{n+2}} + f\delta_3^{(-1)} H_{s_2-1}^{(-1)} (t - \theta)^{s_2 + \dots + s_{n+2}}$$

Note that by Theorem 3.3,

$$H_{s_2-1} = H_{q^2-2} = \Gamma_{q^2-1} \frac{-(t - \theta^q)}{L_1} = D_1^{q-2} (\theta - t).$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_2^{(-1)} (t - \theta)^{s_2 + \dots + s_{n+2}} + f\delta_3^{(-1)} H_{s_2-1}^{(-1)} (t - \theta)^{s_2 + \dots + s_{n+2}} \\ &= (t - \theta)^{q^{n+2}-1} (t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q}})^{q^{n+2}} \cdots (t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q^n}})^{q^{n+2}} \left[-B(t - \theta) (t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q^{n+1}}})^{q^{n+2}} + f f_3|_{\theta=t} (\theta - t) D_1^{q-2}|_{\theta=t} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Since $f f_3|_{\theta=t} = (-1)^n [n + 2][n + 1]^q|_{\theta=t} (D_{n+1} D_n \cdots D_2)^{q-1} L_1 = \frac{-B[n + 2]|_{\theta=t}}{D_1^{q-2}|_{\theta=t}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_2^{(-1)} (t - \theta)^{s_2 + \dots + s_{n+2}} + f\delta_3^{(-1)} H_{s_2-1}^{(-1)} (t - \theta)^{s_2 + \dots + s_{n+2}} \\ &= -B(t - \theta)^{q^{n+2}} [(t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q}}) \cdots (t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q^n}})]^{q^{n+2}} \left[(t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q^{n+1}}})^{q^{n+2}} - [n + 2] \right] \\ &= \delta_2. \end{aligned}$$

Part II : $\delta_1 = \delta_1^{(-1)} (t - \theta)^w + \delta_2^{(-1)} H_{s_1-1}^{(-1)} (t - \theta)^w + b H_{w-1}^{(-1)} (t - \theta)^w$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \delta_1^{(-1)}(t - \theta)^w + \delta_2^{(-1)}H_{s_1-1}^{(-1)}(t - \theta)^w + bH_{w-1}^{(-1)}(t - \theta)^w \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} F_j^{(-1)}(t - \theta)^w - F_{n+1}^{(-1)}(t - \theta)^w + (-1)^n([n+2] - 1)|_{\theta=t}[n+1]_{\theta=t}^q \Gamma_w|_{\theta=t}(t - \theta)^w \\
&= F_0^{(-1)}(t - \theta)^w \sum_{j=2}^{n+1} F_j - B([n+2] - 1)|_{\theta=t}(t - \theta)^w \\
&= B(t - \theta)^w(t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q}})^w + \delta_1 - F_0 - F_1 - B[n+2]|_{\theta=t}(t - \theta)^w + F_0 \\
&= \delta_1 + B(t - \theta)^w \left[(t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q}})^w - (t - \theta^{\frac{w}{q}}) - [n+2]|_{\theta=t} \right] = \delta_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we compute the following Γ -functions.

$$\Gamma_w = (D_1 D_2 \cdots D_{n+1})^{q-1}$$

$$\Gamma_{q^2-1} = D_1^{q-1}$$

$$\Gamma_{(q-1)q^i} = \frac{D_i^{q-1}}{L_i} \text{ for } i = 2, \dots, n+1.$$

Since $q-1$ does not divide $\sum s_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta_A(1, q^2 - 1, q^2(q-1), \dots, q^{n+1}(q-1)) &= \frac{-b(\theta)\Gamma_w}{f(\theta)a(\theta)\Gamma_1\Gamma_{q^2-1}\Gamma_{(q-1)q^2}\cdots\Gamma_{(q-1)q^{n+1}}}\zeta_A(w) \\
&= \frac{(-1)^{n+1}([n+2] - 1)[n+1]^q L_2 \cdots L_{n+1}}{[n+2]L_1 L_2 \cdots L_{n+1}[1]^{q^n}[2]^{q^{n-1}} \cdots [n+1]^q} \\
&= \frac{(-1)^{n+1}([n+2] - 1)}{[n+2]L_1[1]^{q^n}[2]^{q^{n-1}} \cdots [n]^{q^2}}
\end{aligned}$$

(b) Let $w = s_1 + \cdots + s_r = p^m q^{n+r-2} - p^m q^{n-1} + q^n - \sum N_i q^i$. For $b \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$, we put

$$\begin{aligned}
F_0 &= bH_{w-1}^{(-1)}(t - \theta)^w \\
F_i &= F_{i-1}^{(-1)}(t - \theta)^w \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, r-1 \\
\delta_1 &= \sum_{j=0}^{r-2} F_j \in \overline{K}[t].
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \delta_1^{(-1)}(t - \theta)^w + f_1 \delta_2^{(-1)}H_{s_1-1}^{(-1)}(t - \theta)^w + bH_{w-1}^{(-1)}(t - \theta)^w \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{r-2} F_j^{(-1)}(t - \theta)^w + \delta_2^{(-1)}H_{s_1-1}^{(-1)}(t - \theta)^w + F_0 \\
&= \delta_1 + F_{r-1} + f_1 \delta_2^{(-1)}H_{s_1-1}^{(-1)}(t - \theta)^w.
\end{aligned}$$

It suffices to show that there exists nonzero $b, f_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ such that

$$F_{r-1} + f_1 \delta_2^{(-1)}H_{s_1-1}^{(-1)}(t - \theta)^w = 0,$$

or equivalently,

$$f_1 \delta_2^{(-1)} H_{s_1-1}^{(-1)}(t-\theta)^w = -b H_{w-1}^{(-r)}(t-\theta)^w \cdots (t-\theta^{\frac{1}{q^{r-1}}})^w.$$

Here f_1 plays the role adjusting the solution $(\delta_2, \dots, \delta_r, a)$ in (4.3.1). Note that the condition $(q-1)s_1 \leq s_2$ is equivalent to

$$q^{n-1}(q-p^m) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} N_i q^i,$$

which implies $N_{n-1} \geq q-p^m$. Therefore we can rewrite the weight as

$$w = q^{n+r-1} - (q-p^m)q^{n+r-2} - (N_{n-1} - (q-p^m))q^{n-1} - \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} N_i q^i = q^{n+r-1} - \sum_{i=0}^{n+r-2} N'_i q^i,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} N'_{n+r-2} &= q-p^m, N'_j = 0 \text{ for } n \leq j \leq n+r-3, \\ N'_{n-1} &= N_{n-1} - (q-p^m), N'_j = N_j \text{ for } 0 \leq j \leq n-2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $0 \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n+r-2} N'_i = q-p^m + N_{n-1} - (q-p^m) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} N_i = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} N_i \leq q-1$. By Theorem 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{s_1-1} &= H_{q^n - \sum N_i q^i - 1} = B_1 \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (t - \theta^{q^i})^{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1-i} N_j q^j}, \\ H_{w-1} &= H_{q^{n+r-1} - \sum N'_i q^i - 1} = B_2 \prod_{i=1}^{n+r-2} (t - \theta^{q^i})^{\sum_{j=0}^{n+r-2-i} N'_j q^j}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= \Gamma_{q^n - \sum N_i q^i} |_{\theta=t} \frac{(-1)^{\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} (n-1-i) N_i q^i}}{\prod_{i=0}^{n-2} L_{n-1-i} |_{\theta=t}^{N_i q^i}}, \\ B_2 &= \Gamma_{q^{n+r-1} - \sum N'_i q^i} |_{\theta=t} \frac{(-1)^{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (n+r-2-i) N'_i q^i}}{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} L_{n+r-2-i} |_{\theta=t}^{N'_i q^i}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{s_1-1}^{(-1)} &= B_1 \prod_{i=0}^{n-2} (t - \theta^{q^i})^{\sum_{j=0}^{n-2-i} N_j q^j}, \\
H_{w-1}^{(-r)} &= B_2 \prod_{i=1}^{n+r-2} (t - \theta^{q^{i-r}})^{\sum_{j=0}^{n+r-2-i} N'_j q^j}, \\
&= B_2 \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} (t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q^i}})^{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} N'_j q^j} \prod_{i=0}^{n-2} (t - \theta^{q^i})^{\sum_{j=0}^{n-2-i} N'_j q^j} \\
&= \frac{B_2}{B_1} H_{s_1-1}^{(-1)} \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} (t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q^i}})^{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} N'_j q^j} \\
\delta_2^{(-1)} &= f_2|_{\theta=t} [(t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q}}) \cdots (t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q^{r-1}}})] p^m q^{n+r-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&f_1 \delta_2^{(-1)} H_{s_1-1}^{(-1)} (t - \theta)^w + b H_{w-1}^{(-r)} (t - \theta)^w \cdots (t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q^{r-1}}})^w \\
&= (t - \theta)^w H_{s_1-1}^{(-1)} [(t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q}}) \cdots (t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q^{r-1}}})] p^m q^{n+r-2} [f_1 f_2|_{\theta=t} + b \frac{B_2}{B_1}].
\end{aligned}$$

So the equation of δ_1 will be solved if we take $b = -f_2|_{\theta=t} B_1$ and $f_1 = B_2$.

Finally, since $q - 1$ does not divide $\sum s_i$, we can apply f_2 in Remark 4.3.3 and get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\zeta_A(q^n - \sum N_i q^i, p^m(q-1)q^{n-1}, \dots, p^m(q-1)q^{n+r-3}) \\
&= \frac{-b(\theta) \Gamma_{q^{n+r-1} - \sum N'_i q^i}}{f_1(\theta) a(\theta) \Gamma_{q^n - \sum N_i q^i} \Gamma_{p^m(q-1)q^{n-1}} \cdots \Gamma_{p^m(q-1)q^{n+r-3}}} \zeta_A(q^{n+r-1} - \sum N'_i q^i) \\
&= \frac{f_2 B_1(\theta) \Gamma_{q^{n+r-1} - \sum N'_i q^i}}{B_2(\theta) \Gamma_{q^n - \sum N_i q^i} \Gamma_{p^m(q-1)q^{n-1}} \cdots \Gamma_{p^m(q-1)q^{n+r-3}} (-1) [1] p^m q^{n+r-3} \cdots [r-1] p^m q^{n-1}} \\
&= \frac{(-1)^r \frac{(-1)^{\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} (n-1-i) N_i q^i}}{\prod_{i=0}^{n-2} L_{n-1-i}^{N_i q^i}}}{\frac{(-1)^{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (n+r-2-i) N'_i q^i}}{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} L_{n+r-2-i}^{N'_i q^i}}} (-1) [1] p^m q^{n+r-3} \cdots [r-1] p^m q^{n-1} \\
&= \frac{(-1)^{r+1} (-1)^{\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} (n-1-i) N_i q^i} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} L_{n+r-2-i}^{N'_i q^i}}{(-1)^{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (n+r-2-i) N'_i q^i} \prod_{i=0}^{n-2} L_{n-1-i}^{N_i q^i} [1] p^m q^{n+r-3} \cdots [r-1] p^m q^{n-1}} \\
&= \frac{(-1)^{(r-1)(1+\sum N_i)} L_{n+r-2}^{N_0 q^0} \cdots L_{r-1}^{(N_{n-1} - (q-p^m)) q^{n-1}}}{[1] p^m q^{n+r-3} [2] p^m q^{n+r-4} \cdots [r-1] p^m q^{n-1} L_{n-1}^{N_0 q^0} \cdots L_1^{N_{n-2} q^{n-2}}} \zeta_A(q^{n+r-1} - \sum N'_i q^i).
\end{aligned}$$

□

At the end of this section we prove Conjecture 2.15 (b).

Theorem 4.5. *For any $q > 2$, $\zeta_A(1, q(q-1), q^3 - q^2 + q - 1)$ is zeta-like. Furthermore,*

$$\zeta_A(1, q(q-1), q^3 - q^2 + q - 1) = \frac{[3] - 1}{[3][2][1]^{q^2-q+1}} \zeta_A(q^3).$$

Proof. We prove this theorem by providing a solution of Equation (4.2.1). Let

$$\begin{aligned}
a &= \{\Gamma_{q^3}[3]\}_{\theta=t}, \\
b &= \{[1]^{q-3}[2]^{q-2}(-[3] + 1)\}_{\theta=t}, \\
\delta_1 &= \frac{a[2]^{q-2}[1]^{q-3}|_{\theta=t}}{[3]|_{\theta=t}}(t - \theta)^{q^3} \left[(t - \theta^q)(t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q}})^{q^3} - \theta^{q^2} + t + 1 \right], \\
\delta_2 &= \frac{-a[2]^{q-2}[1]^{q-3}|_{\theta=t}}{[3]|_{\theta=t}}(t - \theta)^{q^3} (t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q}})^{q^3} (t - \theta^q), \\
\delta_3 &= \frac{a[2]^{q-2}|_{\theta=t}}{[1]|_{\theta=t}}(t - \theta)^{q^3} (t - \theta^q).
\end{aligned}$$

Then by Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.3,

(1)

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\delta_3^{(-1)} + aH_{q^3-q^2+q-2}^{(-1)}](t - \theta)^{q^3-q^2+q-1} \\
&= \frac{a[2]^{q-2}|_{\theta=t}}{[1]|_{\theta=t}}(t - \theta)^{q^3-q^2+q} \left[(t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q}})^{q^3} - [1]|_{\theta=t} \{ (t - \theta)^{q^2-q} + [1]|_{\theta=t}^{q^2-1} \} \right] \\
&= \frac{a[2]^{q-2}|_{\theta=t}}{[1]|_{\theta=t}}(t - \theta)^{q^3-q^2+q} \left[t^{q^3} - \theta^{q^2} - (t^q - t)(t - \theta)^{q^2-q} - (t^{q^3} - t^{q^2}) \right] \\
&= \frac{a[2]^{q-2}|_{\theta=t}}{[1]|_{\theta=t}}(t - \theta)^{q^3-q^2+q}(t - \theta)^{q^2-q}(t - \theta^q) = \delta_3.
\end{aligned}$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\delta_2^{(-1)} + \delta_3^{(-1)}H_{q^2-q-1}^{(-1)}](t - \theta)^{q^3-1} \\
&= \frac{-a[2]^{q-2}[1]^{q-3}|_{\theta=t}}{[3]|_{\theta=t}}(t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q}})^{q^3} (t - \theta)(t - \theta)^{q^3-1} \left[(t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q^2}})^{q^3} - \frac{[3]\Gamma_{q^2-q}|_{\theta=t}}{[1]|_{\theta=t}^{q-2}} \right] \\
&= \frac{-a[2]^{q-2}[1]^{q-3}|_{\theta=t}}{[3]|_{\theta=t}}(t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q}})^{q^3} (t - \theta)(t - \theta)^{q^3-1}(t - \theta^q) = \delta_2.
\end{aligned}$$

(3)

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\delta_1^{(-1)} + \delta_2^{(-1)}H_0^{(-1)} + bH_{q^3-1}^{(-1)}](t - \theta)^{q^3} \\
&= (t - \theta)^{q^3} \left[\frac{a[2]^{q-2}[1]^{q-3}|_{\theta=t}}{[3]|_{\theta=t}}(t - \theta^{\frac{1}{q}})^{q^3} (-\theta^q + t + 1) + \{\Gamma_{q^3}[1]^{q-3}[2]^{q-2}(-[3] + 1)\}_{\theta=t} \right] \\
&= \frac{a[2]^{q-2}[1]^{q-3}|_{\theta=t}}{[3]|_{\theta=t}}(t - \theta)^{q^3} \left[(t^{q^3} - \theta^{q^2})(-\theta^q + t + 1) - t^{q^3} + t + 1 \right] = \delta_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $q > 2$, the ratio of $\zeta_A(1, q(q-1), q^3 - q^2 + q - 1)$ to $\zeta_A(q^3)$ is

$$\frac{-b(\theta)\Gamma_{q^3}}{a(\theta)\Gamma_1\Gamma_{q^2-q}\Gamma_{q^3-q^2+q-1}} = \frac{[3] - 1}{[3][2][1]^{q^2-q+1}}.$$

□

5. MAIN RESULT ON EULERIAN MULTIZETA VALUES

In this section we will present two families of Eulerian multizeta values mentioned in Conjecture 2.14 (a) and Conjecture 2.14 (b) for $q = 2$. As a consequence, this confirms that all the multizeta values conjectured to be Eulerian in [4], Section 6.2, are indeed Eulerian.

Theorem 5.1. *For any positive integer $r > 1$ and n , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta_A(q^n - 1, (q-1)q^n, \dots, (q-1)q^{n+r-2}) \\ &= \zeta_A(q^n - 1)\zeta_A((q-1), \dots, (q-1)q^{r-2})^{q^n} - \zeta_A(q^{n+1} - 1, (q-1)q^{n+1}, \dots, (q-1)q^{n+r-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, one derives from this formula that

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_A(q^n - 1, (q-1)q^n, \dots, (q-1)q^{n+r-2}) &= \frac{(-1)^{n+r-1}[n+r-2][n+r-3]\cdots[n]}{[1]^{q^{n+r-2}}[2]^{q^{n+r-3}}\cdots[r-1]^{q^n}L_{n+r-1}}\tilde{\pi}^{q^{n+r-1}-1} \\ &= \frac{[n+r-2][n+r-3]\cdots[n]}{[1]^{q^{n+r-2}}[2]^{q^{n+r-3}}\cdots[r-1]^{q^n}}\zeta_A(q^{n+r-1} - 1) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.2. *If $q = 2$, then we have*

$$\zeta_A(1)\zeta_A(1, 2, \dots, 2^{r-1}) = \zeta_A(1, 3, 2^2, \dots, 2^{r-1}) + \zeta_A(1, 1, 2, \dots, 2^{r-2})^2$$

for $r > 1$. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_A(1, 3, 2^2, \dots, 2^{r-1}) &= \frac{[r]-1}{L_1[r]} \frac{1}{L_1^{2^{r-1}}L_2^{2^{r-2}}\cdots L_{r-3}^{2^2}L_{r-2}^{2^2}L_1^{2^r}}\tilde{\pi}^{2^r} \\ &= \frac{[r]-1}{L_1[r]} \frac{1}{L_1^{2^{r-1}}L_2^{2^{r-2}}\cdots L_{r-3}^{2^2}L_{r-2}^{2^2}}\zeta(2^r). \end{aligned}$$

for $r > 1$.

Aside from Carlitz's evaluations in Lemma 2.4, the key point of the proof is the relations among the power sums $S_d(m)$.

Lemma 5.3. *For any $d \geq 1$, one has*

$$S_d(q^n - 1)S_d((q-1)q^n) = S_d(q^{n+1} - 1) - S_d((q-1)q^n, q^n - 1)$$

Proof. See [11, pp.2332]. □

Proof of Theorem 5.1

Consider the product

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta_A(q^n - 1)\zeta_A((q-1)q^n, \dots, (q-1)q^{n+r-2}) \\ &= \sum_{d \geq 0} S_d(q^n - 1) \sum_{d_1 > d_2 > \cdots > d_{r-1} \geq 0} S_{d_1}((q-1)q^n) \cdots S_{d_{r-1}}((q-1)q^{n+r-2}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{d_1 > d_2 > \cdots > d_{r-1} \geq 0 \\ d > d_1}} + \sum_{\substack{d_1 > d_2 > \cdots > d_{r-1} \geq 0 \\ d = d_1}} + \sum_{\substack{d_1 > d_2 > \cdots > d_{r-1} \geq 0 \\ d < d_1}} S_d(q^n - 1)S_{d_1}((q-1)q^n) \cdots S_{d_{r-1}}((q-1)q^{n+r-2}) \end{aligned} \tag{5.1.1}$$

By Lemma 5.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\substack{d_1 > d_2 > \dots > d_{r-1} \geq 0 \\ d = d_1}} S_d(q^n - 1) S_{d_1}((q-1)q^n) \cdots S_{d_{r-1}}((q-1)q^{n+r-2}) \\
&= \sum_{d_1 > d_2 > \dots > d_{r-1} \geq 0} [S_{d_1}(q^{n+1} - 1) - S_{d_1}((q-1)q^n, q^n - 1)] S_{d_2}((q-1)q^{n+1}) \cdots S_{d_{r-1}}((q-1)q^{n+r-2}) \\
&= \sum_{d_1 > d_2 > \dots > d_{r-1} \geq 0} S_{d_1}(q^{n+1} - 1) S_{d_2}((q-1)q^{n+1}) \cdots S_{d_{r-1}}((q-1)q^{n+r-2}) \\
&- \sum_{\substack{d_1 > d_2 > \dots > d_{r-1} \geq 0 \\ d' < d_1}} S_{d_1}((q-1)q^n) S_{d'}(q^n - 1) S_{d_2}((q-1)q^{n+1}) \cdots S_{d_{r-1}}((q-1)q^{n+r-2})
\end{aligned}$$

So (5.1.1) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{d_1 > d_2 > \dots > d_{r-1} \geq 0 \\ d > d_1}} S_d(q^n - 1) S_{d_1}((q-1)q^n) \cdots S_{d_{r-1}}((q-1)q^{n+r-2}) \\
&+ \sum_{d_1 > d_2 > \dots > d_{r-1} \geq 0} S_{d_1}(q^{n+1} - 1) S_{d_2}((q-1)q^{n+1}) \cdots S_{d_{r-1}}((q-1)q^{n+r-2}) \\
&= \zeta_A(q^n - 1, (q-1)q^n, \dots, (q-1)q^{n+r-2}) + \zeta_A(q^{n+1} - 1, (q-1)q^{n+1}, \dots, (q-1)q^{n+r-2})
\end{aligned}$$

For the second part, we will prove by mathematical induction on $r > 1$. When $r = 2$,

$$\zeta_A(q^n - 1, (q-1)q^n) = \zeta_A(q^n - 1) \zeta_A((q-1)q^n) - \zeta_A(q^{n+1} - 1)$$

Since $\zeta_A(q^n - 1) = \frac{(-1)^n}{L_n} \tilde{\pi}^{q^n-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\zeta_A(q^n - 1) \zeta_A((q-1)q^n) - \zeta_A(q^{n+1} - 1) \\
&= \frac{(-1)^n}{L_n} \tilde{\pi}^{q^n-1} \left(\frac{(-1)}{L_1} \tilde{\pi}^{q-1} \right)^{q^n} - \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{L_{n+1}} \tilde{\pi}^{q^{n+1}-1} \\
&= \left[\frac{(-1)^{n+q^n}}{L_n L_1^{q^n}} - \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{L_{n+1}} \right] \tilde{\pi}^{q^{n+1}-1} \\
&= \frac{(-1)^{n+1} [[n+1] - [1]^{q^n}]}{L_{n+1} L_1^{q^n}} \tilde{\pi}^{q^{n+1}-1} \\
&= \frac{(-1)^{n+1} [n]}{L_{n+1} L_1^{q^n}} \tilde{\pi}^{q^{n+1}-1} = \frac{[n]}{[1]^{q^n}} \zeta(q^{n+1} - 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Assume that the statement holds for any n with depth $< r$, then by the first recursive formula and induction hypothesis we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \zeta_A(q^n - 1, (q-1)q^n, \dots, (q-1)q^{n+r-2}) \\
&= \zeta_A(q^n - 1)\zeta_A((q-1), \dots, (q-1)q^{r-2})^{q^n} - \zeta_A(q^{n+1} - 1, (q-1)q^{n+1}, \dots, (q-1)q^{n+r-2}). \\
&= \frac{(-1)^n}{L_n} \tilde{\pi}^{q^n-1} \left(\frac{(-1)^{r-1}[r-2] \cdots [1]}{[1]^{q^{r-2}} \cdots [r-2]^q L_{r-1}} \tilde{\pi}^{q^{r-1}-1} \right)^{q^n} - \frac{(-1)^{n+r-1}[n+r-2] \cdots [n+1]}{[1]^{q^{n+r-2}} \cdots [r-2]^{q^{n+1}} L_{n+r-1}} \tilde{\pi}^{q^{n+r-1}-1} \\
&= \left[\frac{(-1)^{n+(r-1)q^n}}{[1]^{q^{n+r-2}} \cdots [r-2]^{q^{n+1}} [r-1]^{q^n} L_n} - \frac{(-1)^{n+r-1}[n+r-2] \cdots [n+1]}{[1]^{q^{n+r-2}} \cdots [r-2]^{q^{n+1}} L_{n+r-1}} \right] \tilde{\pi}^{q^{n+r-1}-1} \\
&= \left[\frac{(-1)^{n+r-1}[n+r-1] \cdots [n+1] - (-1)^{n+r-1}[r-1]^{q^n}[n+r-2] \cdots [n+1]}{[1]^{q^{n+r-2}} \cdots [r-2]^{q^{n+1}} [r-1]^{q^n} L_{n+r-1}} \right] \tilde{\pi}^{q^{n+r-1}-1} \\
&= \left[\frac{(-1)^{n+r-1}[n+r-2] \cdots [n+1][n]}{[1]^{q^{n+r-2}} \cdots [r-2]^{q^{n+1}} [r-1]^{q^n} L_{n+r-1}} \right] \tilde{\pi}^{q^{n+r-1}-1} \\
&= \frac{[n+r-2][n+r-3] \cdots [n]}{[1]^{q^{n+r-2}} [2]^{q^{n+r-3}} \cdots [r-1]^{q^n}} \zeta_A(q^{n+r-1} - 1).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Similarly, by Carlitz's evaluations and relations on power sums we can prove Theorem 5.2.

Proof of Theorem 5.2

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta_A(1, 1, 2, \dots, 2^{r-1}) &= \sum_{\substack{d_1 > d_2 > \cdots > d_r \geq 0 \\ d > d_1}} S_d(1) S_{d_1}(1) \cdots S_{d_r}(2^{r-1}) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{d_1 > d_2 > \cdots > d_r \geq 0 \\ d_2 < d < d_1}} S_d(1) S_{d_1}(1) \cdots S_{d_r}(2^{r-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \zeta_A(1) \zeta_A(1, 2, \dots, 2^{r-1}) \\
&= \sum_{d \geq 0} S_d(1) \sum_{d_1 > d_2 > \cdots > d_r \geq 0} S_{d_1}(1) \cdots S_{d_r}(2^{r-1}) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{d_1 > d_2 > \cdots > d_r \geq 0 \\ d > d_1}} + \sum_{\substack{d_1 > d_2 > \cdots > d_r \geq 0 \\ d = d_1}} + \sum_{\substack{d_1 > d_2 > \cdots > d_r \geq 0 \\ d_2 < d < d_1}} + \sum_{\substack{d_1 > d_2 > \cdots > d_r \geq 0 \\ d = d_2}} + \sum_{\substack{d_1 > d_2 > \cdots > d_r \geq 0 \\ d < d_2}} S_d(1) S_{d_1}(1) \cdots S_{d_r}(2^{r-1}) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{d_1 > d_2 > \cdots > d_r \geq 0 \\ d = d_1}} + \sum_{\substack{d_1 > d_2 > \cdots > d_r \geq 0 \\ d = d_2}} + \sum_{\substack{d_1 > d_2 > \cdots > d_r \geq 0 \\ d < d_2}} S_d(1) S_{d_1}(1) \cdots S_{d_r}(2^{r-1}),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2.1}$$

For $d = d_1$, it is clear that

$$S_{d_1}(1) S_{d_1}(1) = S_{d_1}(2).$$

For $d = d_2$, by using (5.3.1) we have

$$S_{d_2}(1) S_{d_2}(2) = S_{d_2}(3) + S_{d_2}(2, 1).$$

It follows that (5.2.1) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{d_1 > d_2 > \dots > d_r \geq 0 \\ d = d_1}} S_{d_1}(2) S_{d_2}(2) \cdots S_{d_r}(2^{r-1}) + \sum_{\substack{d_1 > d_2 > \dots > d_r \geq 0 \\ d = d_2}} S_{d_1}(1) [S_{d_2}(3) + S_{d_2}(2, 1)] \cdots S_{d_r}(2^{r-1}) \\
& + \sum_{\substack{d_1 > d_2 > \dots > d_r \geq 0 \\ d < d_2}} S_d(1) S_{d_1}(1) \cdots S_{d_r}(2^{r-1}) \\
& = \sum_{\substack{d_1 > d_2 > \dots > d_r \geq 0 \\ d = d_1}} S_{d_1}(2) S_{d_2}(2) \cdots S_{d_r}(2^{r-1}) + \sum_{\substack{d_1 > d_2 > \dots > d_r \geq 0 \\ d = d_2}} S_{d_1}(1) S_{d_2}(3) \cdots S_{d_r}(2^{r-1}) \\
& + 2 \sum_{\substack{d_1 > d_2 > \dots > d_r \geq 0 \\ d < d_2}} S_d(1) S_{d_1}(1) \cdots S_{d_r}(2^{r-1}) \\
& = \zeta_A(2, 2, 2^2, \dots, 2^{r-1}) + \zeta_A(1, 3, 2^2, \dots, 2^{r-1}) \\
& = \zeta_A(1, 1, 2, \dots, 2^{r-2})^2 + \zeta_A(1, 3, 2^2, \dots, 2^{r-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

For the second part, by Lemma 2.4, Theorem ?? and 5.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta_A(1) &= \frac{1}{L_1} \tilde{\pi} \\
\zeta_A(1, 1, 2, \dots, 2^{r-2}) &= \frac{1}{[1]^{2^{r-2}} [2]^{2^{r-3}} \cdots [r-1]^{2^0} L_1^{2^{r-1}}} \tilde{\pi}^{2^{r-1}} \\
\zeta_A(1, 2, \dots, 2^{r-1}) &= \frac{[r-1] \cdots [1]}{[1]^{2^{r-1}} \cdots [r-1]^2 L_r} \tilde{\pi}^{2^r-1}
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
& \zeta_A(1, 3, 2^2, \dots, 2^{r-1}) \\
& = \zeta_A(1) \zeta_A(1, 2, \dots, 2^{r-1}) + \zeta_A(1, 1, 2, \dots, 2^{r-2})^2 \\
& = \frac{[r-1] \cdots [1]}{[1]^{2^{r-1}} \cdots [r-1]^2 L_r L_1} \tilde{\pi}^{2^r} + \frac{1}{[1]^{2^{r-1}} [2]^{2^{r-2}} \cdots [r-1]^{2^1} L_1^{2^r}} \tilde{\pi}^{2^r} \\
& = \frac{1}{[1]^{2^{r-1}} [2]^{2^{r-2}} \cdots [r-1]^{2^1}} \tilde{\pi}^{2^r} \left[\frac{L_{r-1}}{L_r L_1} + \frac{1}{L_1^{2^r}} \right] \\
& = \frac{1}{[1]^{2^{r-1}} [2]^{2^{r-2}} \cdots [r-1]^{2^1}} \frac{L_1^{2^{r-1}} + [r]}{[r]} \frac{1}{L_1^{2^r}} \tilde{\pi}^{2^r}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2.1}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1^{2^{r-2}} L_2^{2^{r-3}} \cdots L_{r-3}^{2^2} L_{r-2}^{2^2} &= [1]^{2^{r-2} + 2^{r-3} + \dots + 2^2 + 2^2} [2]^{2^{r-3} + 2^{r-4} + \dots + 2^2 + 2^2} \cdots [r-2]^{2^2} \\
&= [1]^{2^{r-1}} [2]^{2^{r-2}} \cdots [r-2]^{2^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we adopt the usual convention on empty sums, products and indexing.

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{L_1^{2^r-1} + [r]}{[r][r-1]^2} &= \frac{L_1^{2^r} + [r][1]}{[r][r-1]^2[1]} \\
&= \frac{[r+1] + [r] + [r][1]}{[r][r-1]^2[1]} \\
&= \frac{[r-1]^2([r] + 1)}{[r][r-1]^2[1]} = \frac{[r] + 1}{[r][1]}.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying this to (5.2.1), we finish the proof. $\square$

Acknowledgments The author is grateful to J. Yu for his careful reading, useful discussions and helpful suggestions. She also thanks C.-Y. Chang for helpful comments, and thanks Y.-L. Kuan and Y.-S. Lin for their support of the data collection.

REFERENCES

- [1] Greg W. Anderson; Dinesh S. Thakur, Tensor powers of the Carlitz module and zeta values, *Ann. of Math.*, (2) 132 (1990), no. 1, 159–191.
- [2] Leonard Carlitz, On certain functions connected with polynomials in a Galois field. *Duke Math. J.*, 1 (1935), no. 2, 137–168.
- [3] Chieh-Yu Chang, Linear independence of monomials of multizeta values in positive characteristic, *Compos. Math.*, 150 (2014), no. 11, 1789–1808.
- [4] Chieh-Yu Chang; Matthew A. Papanikolas; Jing Yu, An effective criterion for Eulerian multizeta values in positive characteristic, *preprint*
- [5] Huei-Jeng Chen, On Shuffle of Double Zeta Values over $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$, *Journal of Number Theory*, 148 (2015), 153–163.
- [6] Leonard Eugene Dickson, The analytic representation of substitutions on a power of a prime number of letters with a discussion of the linear group. *Ann. of Math.*, 11 (1896/97), no. 1-6, 65–120.
- [7] Yen-Liang Kuan and Yi-Hsuan Lin Criterion for deciding zeta-like multizeta values in positive characteristic *preprint*
- [8] Jose Alejandro Lara Rodríguez, Relations between multizeta values in characteristic p , *Journal of Number Theory*, 2011, 131 (11), 2081–2099.
- [9] Jose Alejandro Lara Rodríguez and Dinesh S. Thakur, Zeta-like multizeta values for $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 45 (5), 785–798 (2014).
- [10] Dinesh S. Thakur, Function field Arithmetic, *World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc. River Edge*, NJ, 2004.
- [11] Dinesh S. Thakur, Relations between multizeta values for $F_q[t]$, *International Mathematics Research Notices. IMRN*, 2009, (12), 2318–2346.
- [12] Dinesh S. Thakur, Multizeta in function field arithmetic , *To appear in proceedings of Banff workshop to be published by EMS*.
- [13] Jing Yu, Transcendence and special zeta values in characteristic p , *Ann. of Math.*, (2) 134 (1991), no. 1, 1–23.